1				
2				
3				
4	BEFORE THE C	CITY COUNCIL		
5	OF THE CITY OF LA	S VEGAS, NEVADA		
6	* *	* *		
7	IN THE MATTER OF:			
8 9	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES on behalf of the CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA,			
10	Petitioner,	COMPLAINT FOR		
11	vs.	DISCIPLINARY ACTION		
12 13	THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO,			
14	Respondent.			
15 16 17	The DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AN CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA (Department)	ND BUSINESS SERVICES, on behalf of the nt), Petitioner, brings this Complaint for		
18	Disciplinary Action against THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO,			
19	2476 Industrial Road, Las Vegas, Clark County	, Nevada, Respondent, and states:		
20	1. Respondent THE POWER COM	PANY, INC., holds Tavern License No.		
21	L16-00003-4-000209.			
22	2. Records held by the Department	indicate that Frederick Rizzolo is a principal in		
23	the corporation who holds a 95% share, and that	at Bartholomew "Bart" Rizzolo holds a 5%		
24	share. Frederick Rizzolo is listed as the mana	ger of CRAZY HORSE TOO.		
25	SUMMARY OF	ALLEGATIONS		
26	On June 1, 2006, THE POWER COMP	ANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO		
27	pled guilty in the United States District Court f	for the District of Nevada to one count of		
28	Conspiracy to Participate in an Enterprise Enga	ged in Racketeering Activity between		

5

6

7

8

9 10

1

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

January 1, 2000, and 20005. On the same date Frederick Rizzolo pled guilty, in the same court, to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States between January 1, 2000, and 2005. Sixteen (16) employees of CRAZY HORSE TOO, pled guilty, in the same court, to various charges, including False Statements Before a Grand Jury, Conspiracy to Participate in an Enterprise Engaged in Racketeering Activity, and Conspiracy to Defraud the United States.²

Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) § 6.02.330(H) proscribes operating a business whose "actual business activity constitutes a public or private nuisance, or has been or is being conducted in an unlawful, illegal or impermissible manner. [Emphasis added.]"

-11-	<u>u</u>	<u>u</u>		_	 	<u> </u>	. 44

CIIII TV DI FAS

The Power Company, Inc.	3	Steven Crespi	16
Frederick Rizzolo	7	Rocco Lombardo	17
Robert D'Apice	9	Michael Lomonaco	18
Vincent Faraci	10	Michael Muscato	19
Joseph Melfi	12	Ralph Pope	20
Albert Rapuano	13	Scott Speroni	20
John Drace	14	James Stressing	21
Steve Alberts	14	Robert Ubriaco	22
Darren Bruv	15	Paula McBride	22

CHARGING DOCUMENTS

The Criminal Information The Criminal Information	The Power Company, Inc. d/b/a Crazy Horse Too Frederick John Rizzolo	24 26
The Criminal Information The Criminal Indictment	Robert D'Apice and fourteen (14) other employees of Crazy Horse Too Paula McBride	28 31

² The Power Company, Inc. admitted that it was "pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense," and that "the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the offense charged." See page 5 of this Complaint. The Criminal Information to which The Power Company, Inc. pled guilty charged it with Conspiracy to Participate in a Racketeering Enterprise "during the period from 2000 to 2005." See page 26 of this Complaint. LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence " If the City Council approves the Complaint, and after hearing the matter determines that a disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000.

REGULATORY POWERS DECLARED 1 LIQUOR CONTROL 2 The City Council declares that this Liquor Control 3 Chapter is an exercise of the regulatory powers delegated to the City Council pursuant to the City Charter and NRS 268.090, 4 inter alia. The regulations contained in this Chapter involve, to the highest degree, the economic, social, physical and moral 5 well-being of the residents and taxpayers of the City. The sale or other disposition of alcoholic beverages is not a matter of 6 right but of privilege, which would otherwise be unlawful if it were not exercised pursuant to a license. This privilege may be 7 denied, revoked, conditioned, suspended or subjected to any other disciplinary action by the City in the exercise of its police 8 powers for the protection of the safety, welfare, health, peace and morals of the residents and taxpayers thereof. Businesses 9 engaged in the sale or other disposition of alcoholic beverages must therefore comply with LVMC Chapter 6.06. Nothing in this 10 Chapter shall be construed to confer any legitimate claim of entitlement to any benefit which might otherwise devolve upon 11 any licensee or any person approved for suitability. 12 LVMC § 6.50.010 (emphasis added). 13 THE GUILTY PLEA OF THE POWER COMPANY, INC. 14 THE POWER COMPANY, INC. pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to 15 Participate in an Enterprise Engaged in Racketeering Activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 16 1962(d) June 1, 2006, under Case No. 2:06-cr-186-PMP-PAL in the United States District 17 Court for the District of Nevada. On May 31, 2006, the shareholders and directors of THE 18 POWER COMPANY, INC. had appointed Anthony Sgro, Esq., as the corporation's attorney-19 in-fact for the limited purpose of entering this guilty plea. The plea included the execution and 20 filing of a plea memorandum with the court. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum 21 22 provide: The Defendant Corporation will plead guilty to Count One 23 of the Information charging conspiracy to participate in an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity 24 The Defendant Corporation also agreed to forfeit 25 \$4,250,000.00 26 27

1	The Government and Defendant Corporation agree that
2	the court should impose a \$500,000 fine upon Defendant Corporation
3	
4	Defendant Corporation agrees to make restitution in an
5	amount of \$10,000,000 as compensation for injury and damages to Kirk and Amy Henry, with \$1,000,000 due immediately upon the entry of Defendant Corporation's plea and the
6	remainder due and to be paid from the proceeds of the sale of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO at the time of the closing of its sale.
7	
8	Defendant Corporation agrees to make restitution in an amount of \$1,734,000 to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).
9	This Plea Agreement is contingent on Defendant Corporation and the IRS entering a Closing Agreement. Upon entry of the said Closing Agreement, the agreement shall be attached to this
10	Plea Agreement and incorporated herein by reference. ³
11	Defendant Corporation agrees to forfeit to the United
12	States of America voluntarily and immediately all of its rights to, title in, and interest in the \$4,250,000.00 from the proceeds of
13	the sale of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
14	
15	THE POWER COMPANY, INC., within 12 months
16	from the entry of its plea shall sell THE CRAZY HORSE TOO.
17	
18	If at the end of the 12-month period for selling of THE
19	CRAZY HORSE TOO, Defendant Corporation has not sold THE CRAZY HORSE TOO the Government and defendant
20	will confer in a good faith effort to determine and agree on a third party manager/seller If the parties are unable to reach
21	an agreement then either or both parties may apply to the Court for a determination of the manager/seller. The third party
	manager/seller shall be a licensed, nationally recognized
22	management/commercial sales firm. The manager/seller will be responsible to: 1) lawfully operate the Crazy Horse Too during
23 24	the time the business is being sold and 2) to sell the club in as reasonable time as possible
	The Government shall have the right to disapprove a
25 26	Buyer of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who the Government objectively demonstrates is a close relative or ongoing business
27	3 The Clasing Agreement was executed June 1, 2006, by Rick Rizzola and thereaft

³ The Closing Agreement was executed June 1, 2006, by Rick Rizzolo and thereafter by a designee of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. *See* the Plea Memorandum.

1	partner of FREDERICK RIZZOLO, is a multiple felon or a felon within the last ten years, or has business dealings with identified
2	members and associates of the La Cosa Nostra (LCN) or other identified organized crime group or has been convicted of a crime
3	with identified members and associates of the LCN or other identified organized crime group.
4	Defendant Corporation will make available to such
5	individuals as the Government directs the accounting books and records of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO for the purpose of
6	ensuring the lawful operation of the business
7	
8	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind
9	any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority.
10	
11	The Defendant Corporation is pleading guilty because
12	the Defendant Corporation is guilty of the offense charged
13	
14	Defendant Corporation acknowledges that if the Defendant Corporation elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to
15	establish beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant Corporation is guilty of the offense charged 4
16	The Defendant Corporation specifically admits and
17	declares under penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct.
18	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO ROBERT
19 20	D'APICE, and other individuals constituted a racketeering enterprise
	THE POWER COMPANY, INC., owned and
21 22	operated a business known as THE CRAZY HORSE TOO ROBERT D'APICE, was a shift manager
23	
24	The Criminal Information filed against the Power Company, Inc. alleges that The
25	Company, Inc. engaged in racketeering, extortion, and fraud between 2000 and 2005. LVI

26

27

⁴ The Criminal Information filed against the Power Company, Inc. alleges that The Power Company, Inc. engaged in racketeering, extortion, and fraud between 2000 and 2005. LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence" If the City Council approves the Complaint, and after hearing the matter determines that a disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000. See p. 26.

1	
2	Defendant Corporation conspired and agreed with ROBERT D'APICE, and other individuals to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the
3 4	enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit: acts of extortion and threats indictable under United
5	States Code and Nevada Revised Statutes acts of access device fraud and wire fraud indictable under United States Code and acts of mail fraud and wire fraud indictable under
6	United States Code
7	[D]ancers generally collected payments from patrons of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO for dances or other services the
8	dancers provided. If a patron refused to pay a dancer, or if a patron disputed the charges claimed by a dancer, the dancer
9	typically followed the procedure of initially contacting the shift manager or other male employees,
$\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$	during the course of the conspiracy and acting within the scope of their employment at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO sought to extort payment from patrons through explicit or implicit
12	threats of violence, or through actual use of force and physical violence against patrons to force the patron to pay the disputed
13	payment
14	[D]ancers on one or more occasions sought to defraud customers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO by
15	overcharging the customers for dances or other services provided or by causing charges on a customer's credit card in excess of the
16	dances or other services provided [T]he shift managers or other male employees, during the course of the conspiracy and acting within the scope of their employment at THE CRAZY
17	HORSE TOO assisted the dancers in the commission of the fraud by extorting payment from patrons through explicit or
18	implicit threats of violence, or through actual use of force and physical violence against patrons to force the patron to pay the disputed payment
20	[I]n furtherance of the conspiracy THE CRAZY
21	HORSE TOO, did not report or maintain records of the money received from the dancers THE CRAZY HORSE
22	TOO used this unreported cash income from the business to supplement the wages of certain employees
23	[T]he owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and
24	certain of the participating employees did not accurately report the income of THE POWER COMPANY, INC., doing
25	business as, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, or the income of the owners, managers, and employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO to the Nevada Employment Security Division, and to
26	industrial (workman's compensation) insurance providers.
27	Document 2, Plea Memorandum, pp. 3, 5-9, 11, 13-17 (emphasis added).

1	THE GUILTY PLEA OF FREDERICK RIZZOLO
2	FREDERICK RIZZOLO entered a plea of guilty June 1, 2006, to one count of
3	Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 in the United
4	States District Court for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:06-cr-186-PMP-PAL. A
5	Plea Memorandum executed by FREDERICK RIZZOLO was filed with the court as part of
6	the guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
7	The Government and defendant agree that the court should impose a \$250,000 fine upon defendant at the time of defendant's
8	sentencing
9	• • • •
10	Defendant voluntarily and immediately agrees to the administrative forfeiture , civil forfeiture, or criminal forfeiture
11	of all of his rights to, title in, and interest in the \$4,250,000.00 to the United States of America
12	
13	The \$4,250,000.00 agreed to be forfeited to the United
14	States by the defendant is the same \$4,250,000.00 agreed to be forfeited by the Power Company, Inc. in its Plea Memorandum
15	and does not represent an additional amount to be forfeited, i.e., only a total amount of \$4,250,000.00 shall be forfeited jointly by
16	the defendant and the Power Company, Inc.
17	
18	Defendant Rizzolo agrees that, upon the sale of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, he will not thereafter continue to
19	operate or establish, open, or facilitate the establishment, opening, or operation of any business, trade, or commercial
20	activity, similar to THE CRAZY HORSE TOO or involving the production and/or sale of pornographic or erotic media
21	or in any manner become interested, directly or indirectly, either as an employee, owner, partner, agent, stockholder,
22	director, officer, LLC member, or otherwise, in any such business, trade or commercial enterprise within the geographical
23	boundaries of the United States of America and its territories and commonwealths for the duration of his natural life. To the extent
24	defendant Rizzolo currently owns, operates or has any involvement in any such establishments described in this
25	paragraph, other than THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, he shall have 12 months from the entry of his plea to dispose of such interests
26	or involvements.

Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or 2 regulatory authority. 3 4 The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense. 5 In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges 6 that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish 7 beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged 5 8 The defendant specifically admits and declares under 9 penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct: 10 THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and 11 employees conspired to defraud the United States. 12 THE CRAZY HORSE TOO in Las Vegas, Nevada, was typically known as a "gentlemen's club" or "strip club." . . . 13 Dancers performing at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are 14 treated as independent contractors and are not paid by the club for their services. Rather, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO patrons 15 commonly pay individual dancers for their services. The dancers at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are generally required to pay the 16 management or owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO a fee for the opportunity to ply their craft within the club. Rather than 17 charging dancers a flat fee, the shift management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay a 18 percentage of their earnings. More specifically, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay fifteen 19 percent (15%) of their earnings The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent 20 (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift. 21 As part of the conspiracy, the management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO did not report or maintain records of 22 the money received from the dancers. The management of THE 23 24 ⁵ See page 26 of this Disciplinary Complaint quoting the Criminal Complaint as stating that 25 the conspiracy lasted between 2000 and 2005. LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation 26 which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence " If the City Council approves the Complaint, and 27 after hearing the matter determines that a disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in 28 the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000.

This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States

1	CRAZY HORSE TOO used this unreported cash income from
2	the business to supplement the wages of certain employees As a result of the procedures of THE CRAZY HOSE TOO
_	persons receiving the cash salary payments generally under-
3	reported amounts received to THE CRAZY HORSE TOO's
,	bookkeeping staff. By failing to report or record the cash payments to the club's employees, the owners of THE CRAZY
4	HORSE TOO and certain of the participating employees were
5	able to avoid Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes
_	owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.
6	As part of the conspiracy the defendant and management
7	of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO delivered to the accountant and
0	tax preparer for THE CRAZY HORSE TOO records which failed to reflect the monies described The defendant and
8	management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO knew that the
9	accountant would rely on these inaccurate summary sheets to
10	prepare quarterly financial reports and tax returns
10	The management knowingly caused the preparation
11	and delivery of numerous inaccurate Internal Revenue Service
12	W-2 Forms to certain employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, as well as to the Internal Revenue Service. The figures reported
12	on the W-2 Forms did not reflect the true amount of the income
13	paid by the business to its management and employees. The
14	management and employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO then knowingly used these inaccurate W-2 Forms to cause false
144	individual income tax returns to be filed with the Internal
15	Revenue Service.
16	[T]he conspiracy allowed THE CRAZY HORSE
10	TOO to underpay approximately \$400,000 in Federal Insurance
17	Contributions Action (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes.
18	Document 3, Plea Memorandum, pp. 4-13 (emphasis added).
19	THE GUILTY PLEA OF ROBERT D'APICE
20	ROBERT D'APICE entered a plea of guilty May 31, 2006, to one count of
21	Conspiracy to Participate in an Enterprise Engaged in Racketeering Activity in violation
22	of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada under
23	Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL. The guilty plea included filing a Plea Memorandum.
24	Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum executed by ROBERT D'APICE provide:
25	The defendant will plead guilty to count one of the
26	information charging conspiracy to participate in an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity
26	cugaged in facketeering activity
27	
28	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
ļ	Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any

1	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
2	regulatory authority
3	my 1 for dant is also dispossible because the defendant in
4	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense.
5	In pleading to the offense, the defendant acknowledges
6	that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
7	The defendant specifically admits and declares under
8	penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct:
9	
10	
11	[D]efendant ROBERT D'APICE was a shift manager at the business operation of THE POWER COMPANY, INC., doing business as THE CRAZY HORSE TOO.
12	Tive., doing business as Title et al. 2.1 Tive.
13	
14	At all times material to this agreement, dancers usually collected payments from patrons of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
15	for dances or other services the dancers provided. If a patron refused to pay a dancer, or if a patron disputed the charges
	claimed by a dancer, the dancer typically followed the procedure of initially contacting the shift manager [T]he defendant,
16	during the course of the conspiracy and acting within the
17	scope of his employment at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, on at least two or more occasions sought to extort payment from
18	patrons through explicit or implicit threats of violence, and through actual use of force and physical violence against
19	patrons in which various degrees of bodily injury were caused. In carrying out this purpose of the enterprise, defendant engaged
20	in acts and threats involving extortion in violation of state law
21	• • • •
22	Document 4, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 7, 9-10 (emphasis added).
23	THE GUILTY PLEA OF VINCENT FARACI
24	On May 31, 2006, VINCENT FARACI pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to
25	Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 in the United States District Court
26	for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL. This guilty plea involved
27	filing a Plea Memorandum executed by VINCENT FARACI May 31, 2006. Relevant

portions of the Plea Memorandum provide that:

1	The defendant will plead guilty to count two of the information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States
2	
3	
4	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
5	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
6	Legistically accessing to the second
7	
8	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense.
9	In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges
10	that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the
11	offense charged
12	The defendant specifically admits and declares under
13	penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct:
14	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
15	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO was typically known as
16	a "gentlemen's club" or "strip club."
17	Dancers performing at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are treated as independent contractors and are not paid by the club
18	for their services. Rather, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO patrons commonly pay individual dancers for their services. The dancers
19	at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are generally required to pay the management or owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO a fee for
20	the opportunity to ply their craft within the club. Rather than charging dancers a flat fee, the shift management of THE
21	CRÁZÝ HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay a
22	percentage of their earnings. More specifically, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay fifteen percent
23	(15%) of their earnings for the privilege of dancing for patrons at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO. The shift managers of THE
24	CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift
25	The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States
26	currency collected from the dancers.
27	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to
28	under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
	Document 5, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added).

THE GUILTY PLEA OF JOSEPH MELFI 1 JOSEPH MELFI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the 2 United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, in the United States District 3 Court for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL. A Plea Memorandum 4 was filed with the court as part of the guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum 5 6 provide that: The defendant will plead guilty to count two of the 7 information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States 8 This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States 10 Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or 11 regulatory authority. . . . 12 13 The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense. 14 In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges 15 that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish 16 beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged in Count Two of the Information. 17 18 THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and 19 employees conspired to defraud the United States. 20 21 . . . The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual 22 dancers' earnings at the end of each shift. . . . The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who 23 received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers. 24 . . [T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE 25 CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift. 26 Document 6, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added). 27 28

1	THE GUILTY PLEA OF ALBERT RAPUANO
2	ALBERT RAPUANO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud
3	the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, in the United States District
4	Court for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL. A Plea Memorandum
5	was filed with the court as part of the guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum
6	provide that:
7	The defendant will plead guilty to count two of the information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States
8	• • • •
9	
10	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
11	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
12	
13	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is
14	guilty of the charged offense.
15	In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this
16 17	plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged in Count Two of the Information.
18	
19	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and
20	employees conspired to defraud the United States.
21	• • • •
	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
22	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
23	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from
25	the dancers.
26	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to
27	under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
28	Document 7, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added).

1	THE GUILTY PLEA OF JOHN DRACE
2	JOHN DRACE pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States
3	in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the
4	United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part
5	of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
6	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
	Violation of Title 18, Office States Code, Section 371.
8	The transfer of the August Market Charles
9 10	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
11	regulatory authority
12	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is
13	guilty of the charged offense.
14	In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this
15	plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the
16	offense charged in Count Two of the Information.
17	• • • •
18	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
19	
20	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
21	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
22	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
23	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
24	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
25	Document 8, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added).
26	THE GUILTY PLEA OF STEVE ALBERTS
27	STEVE ALBERTS pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United
28	States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL

1	in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. Part of the guilty plea involved
2	filing a Plea Memorandum. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
3	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in
4	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
5	• • • •
6	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
7	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
8	
9	The first and in the stiff of the course the defendant is
10	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense.
11	In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this
12	plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the
13	offense charged in Count Two of the Information.
14	
15	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
16	
17	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
18	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
19	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from
20	the dancers.
21	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to
22	under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
23	Document 9, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added).
24	THE GUILTY PLEA OF DARREN BRUY
25	DARREN BRUY pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United
26	States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL
27	in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as
28	part of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide that:

1 2	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
3	
ļ	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
5	Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
6	regulatory authority
7 8	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense.
9	In pleading to that offense, the defendant acknowledges
10	that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish
11	beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged in Count Two of the Information.
12	
13	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and
14	employees conspired to defraud the United States.
15	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
16	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
17	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
18	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
19	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
20	
21	Document 10, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6-9 (emphasis added).
22	THE GUILTY PLEA OF STEVEN CRESPI
23	STEVEN CRESPI pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United
24	States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL
25	in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as
26	part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
27	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the
28	Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

1	• • • •
2	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
3	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
4	
5	
6	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
7	
8	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
9	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
10	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
11	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
12	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
13	<u>-</u>
14	Document 11, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
15	THE GUILTY PLEA OF ROCCO LOMBARDO
15 16	THE GUILTY PLEA OF ROCCO LOMBARDO ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud
16	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud
16 17	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-
16 17 18	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr- 17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in
16 17 18 19	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
16 17 18 19 20	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr- 17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in
16 17 18 19 20 21	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr- 17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr- 17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr- 17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ROCCO LOMBARDO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority

1	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
2	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
3	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
4	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
5	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
6	
7	Document 12, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
8	THE GUILTY PLEA OF MICHAEL LOMONACO
9	MICHAEL LOMONACO pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the
10	United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
11	KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea
12	Memorandum as part of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
13	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the
14	Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
15	
16	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
17	Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
18	regulatory authority
19	
20	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
21	
22	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
23	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
24	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
25	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
26	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
27	
28	Document 13, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).

1	THE GUILTY PLEA OF MICHAEL MUSCATO
2	MICHAEL MUSCATO pled guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the
3	United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
4	KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea
5	Memorandum as part of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
6	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
7	Violation of Title 16, Office States Code, Section 371.
8	TILL I was a series limited to the United States
9	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
11	regulatory authority
12	
13	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
14	••••
15	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
16	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
17	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
18	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
19	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
20	
21	Document 14, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
22	THE GUILTY PLEA OF RALPH POPE
23	RALPH POPE entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the
24	United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
25	KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea
26	Memorandum as part of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
27	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18. United States Code, Section 371.

1	• • • •
2	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
3	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
4	regulatory dumority
5	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and
6	employees conspired to defraud the United States.
7	••••
8	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
9	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
10	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
11	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
12	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
13	•
14	Document 15, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
15	THE GUILTY PLEA OF SCOTT SPERONI
15 16	THE GUILTY PLEA OF SCOTT SPERONI SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the
16	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the
16 17	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
16 17 18	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the
16 17 18 19	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
16 17 18 19 20	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17- KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in
16 17 18 19 20 21	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17- KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17- KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17- KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SCOTT SPERONI entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide: The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority

1	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
2	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
3	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from
4	the dancers.
5	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to
6	under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
7	Document 16, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
8	THE GUILTY PLEA OF JAMES STRESSING
9	JAMES STRESSING entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud
10	the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
11	KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea
12	Memorandum as part of this guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide
13	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the
14	Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
15	•••
16	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States
17	Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
18	regulatory authority
19	
20	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and employees conspired to defraud the United States.
21	
22	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
23	generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was
24	among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who received a portion of the United States currency collected from
25	the dancers.
26	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to
27	under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
28	Document 17, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
40	1 DOUBLE II. I lea montandin, pp. 2, 0, 0 > (ompione acces).

1	THE GUILTY PLEA OF ROBERT UBRIACO
2	ROBERT UBRIACO entered a plea of guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Defraud
3	the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-
4	KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. He filed a Plea
5	Memorandum as part of his guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
6	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Two of the Information charging conspiracy to defraud the United States in
7	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
8	
9	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any
10	other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
11	regulatory authority
12	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and the defendant and
13	employees conspired to defraud the United States.
14	
15	The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual
16	dancers' earnings at the end of each shift The defendant was among the employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO who
17	received a portion of the United States currency collected from the dancers.
18	[T]he defendant agreed and conspired with THE
19	CRAZY HORSE TOO, its management, and other employees, to under-report the cash income received at the end of each shift.
20	under report the dash means received at the chart of
21	Document 18, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-9 (emphasis added).
22	THE GUILTY PLEA OF PAULA McBRIDE
23	PAULA McBRIDE entered a plea of guilty to one count charging False Statement
24	Before a Grand Jury in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1623 May 31, 2006, under Case No. 2:05-
25	cr-17-KJD-LRL in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. She filed a Ple
26	Memorandum as part of her guilty plea. Relevant portions of the Plea Memorandum provide:
27	The defendant will plead guilty to Count Six of the Indictment charging false statement before grand jury in violation
28	of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

1	
2	This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or
3	regulatory authority
5	The defendant is pleading guilty because the defendant is guilty of the charged offense.
7	In pleading to the offense, the defendant acknowledges that if the defendant elected to go to trial instead of entering this plea, the United States could prove facts sufficient to establish the
8	defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
9	
10	
10	From in or about August 2001, up to and including
11	January 2005, Special Federal Grand Juries for the District of
	Nevada have been investigating the activities of defendant
12	ROBERT D'APICE, THE POWER COMPANY, INC., doing business as THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and their associates
13	concerning possible violations of federal criminal statutes. In
	particular, the grand jury was investigating acts of violence by
14	employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, including ROBERT D'APICE, in the course of their employment and the use of
15	extortionate means with patrons by employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, including defendant ROBERT D'APICE, to
16	collect disputed charges. As part of its investigation, the grand jury was seeking to determine the knowledge of certain
17	individuals, including defendant PAULA McBRIDE, as to
1	individuals involved in acts of violence by employees of THE
18	CRAZY HORSE TOO, including ROBERT D'APICE, in the
19	course of their employment and use of extortionate means with patrons by employees of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, including defendant ROBERT D'APICE, to collect disputed charges.
20	defendant ROBERT D'ATTOE, to concer disputed charges.
_	[D]efendant PAULA McBRIDE, while under oath as
21	a witness before the Special Federal Grand Jury of the District of
22	Nevada, made the following material declarations:
22 23	Q. At the time that he [individual identified as Henry who was found injured outside Crazy Horse Too]
24	was leaving the Crazy Horse how was he walking out?
25	A. Calmly, kind of tipsy, a little bit.
26	Q. At the time that he walked out was there
27	anyone walking out near him?
27	A. No.
28	

At the time defendant PAULA McBRIDE made these 1 declarations she knew that they were false in that defendant PAULA McBRIDE knew that she had observed the person 2 identified as Henry exit THE CRAZY HORSE TOO with or in close proximity of ROBERT D'APICE 3 Document 19, Plea Memorandum, pp. 2, 6, 8-10 (emphasis added). 4 THE CRIMINAL INFORMATION 5 (2:06-cr-0186-PMP-PAL) 6 CONSPIRACY TO PARTICIPATE IN AN ENTERPRISE THROUGH A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING 7 THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO 8 On June 1, 2006, a Criminal Information was filed in the United States District Court 9 for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:06-cr-186-PMP-PAL charging THE POWER 10 COMPANY, INC., d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO in one count with Conspiracy to Participate 11 in a Racketeering Enterprise in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). The Criminal Information 12 relevantly provides that: 13 THE CRAZY HORSE TOO in Las Vegas, Nevada, was 14 commonly known as a "gentlemen's club" or "strip club." The terms "gentlemen's club" and "strip club" generally refer to 15 business establishments in which women dance semi-nude as entertainment for the establishments' patrons. THE CRAZY 16 HORSE TOO provided entertainment to large numbers of tourists traveling from outside the State of Nevada into the State of 17 Nevada. 18 Document 20, Criminal Information, Count One, ¶ 3, p. 2. 19 During the period from 2000 to 2005, dancers at THE 20 CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected payments from patrons of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO for dances or other services the 21 dancers provided. If a patron refused to pay a dancer, or if a patron disputed the charges claimed by a dancer, the dancer 22 typically followed the procedure of initially contacting the shift manager, or other male employees at THE CRAZY HORSE 23 TOO. During the course of the conspiracy, and as part of and in furtherance of the conspiracy, the shift manager and other 24 male employees acting within the scope of their employment at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, on one or more occasions 25 (depending in part on the patron and other circumstances) sought to extort payment from patrons through explicit or implicit 26 threats of violence, or through actual use of force and physical violence . . . 27 Id. at \P 4, pp. 2-3 (emphasis added).

During the period from 2000 to 2005, dancers at THE 1 CRAZY HORSE TOO on one or more occasions sought to defraud customers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO by 2 overcharging the customers for dances or other services provided or by causing charges on a customer's credit card in excess of 3 the dances or other services provided. . . . During the course of the conspiracy . . . the shift managers or other male employees 4 acting within the scope of their employment at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, on one or more occasions (depending on the 5 patron and other circumstances) assisted the dancers in the commission of fraud by extorting payment from patrons through 6 explicit or implicit threats of violence, or through actual use of force and physical violence against patrons to coerce the patrons 7 to pay the disputed sums. 8 Id. at \P 5, p. 3 (emphasis added). 9 As part of and in furtherance of the conspiracy, THE 10 POWER COMPANY, INC., did not report or maintain records of the money received from the dancers. The 11 management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO used this unreported cash income from the business to supplement the wages of certain 12 employees. . . . [T]he employees that received cash salary payments generally under-reported amounts received to THE 13 CRAZY HORSE TOO's bookkeeping staff. By failing to report or record the sums of the cash payments, the owners of THE 14 **CRAZY HORSE TOO and the participating employees** combined to under-report and conceal income of the owners, 15 managers, and employees . . . from the Internal Revenue Service, the Nevada Employment Security Division, and the 16 industrial (workman's compensation) insurance providers. 17 Id. at \P 6, p. 4 (emphasis added). 18 From approximately January 1, 2000 through 20056, in 19 the District of Nevada, and elsewhere, [1] POWER COMPANY, INC., 20 defendant herein, being associated with an enterprise . . . which enterprise engaged in and the activities of which affected, 21 interstate commerce, did knowingly conspire and agree, with ROBERT D'APICE and other persons known and unknown, to 22 conduct and to participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise through a "pattern of 23 racketeering activity" The pattern of racketeering activity through which the defendants agreed to conduct the affairs of the 24 25 ⁶ LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an 26 amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence 27" If the City Council approves the Complaint, and after hearing the matter determines that a

INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000.

disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY,

	the defendant of any things are a formation and through
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	enterprise consisted of multiple acts of extortion and threats indictable under United States Code and Nevada Revised Statutes acts of access device fraud and wire fraud
2	indictable under United States Code and acts of mail fraud and wire fraud indictable under United States Code
3	
5	Id. at \P 7, p. 5 (emphasis added).
,	
6	<u>THE CRIMINAL INFORMATION</u> (2:06-cr-0186-PMP-PAL)
7	CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE UNITED STATES
8	FREDERICK JOHN RIZZOLO
9	
10	The same Criminal Information, filed June 1, 2006, also charges FREDERICK JOHN
11	RIZZOLO in one count with Conspiracy to Defraud the United States in violation of
12	18 U.S.C. § 371. The Criminal Information relevantly provides that:
13	From approximately January 1, 2000 through 2005 ⁷ , in
14	the District of Nevada, and elsewhere, [2] FREDERICK JOHN RIZZOLO,
15	did willfully and knowingly conspire and agree together and with others known and unknown to defraud the United States by impeding, impairing, obstructing and defeating the lawful
16	government functions of the Department of Treasury in the ascertainment, computation, assessment, and collection of
17	revenue: to wit, income and employment taxes.
18	Document 20, Criminal Information, Count Two, ¶ 1, p. 7 (emphasis added).
19	Dancers performing at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are treated as independent contractors and are not paid by the club
20	for their services. Rather, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO patrons commonly pay individual dancers for their services. The dancers
21	at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are generally required to pay the management or owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO a fee for
22	the opportunity to dance for customers within the club THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay
23	fifteen percent (15%) of their earnings to the business for the privilege of dancing The shift managers of THE CRAZY
24	Prince of the same
25	
26	⁷ LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation which forms the subject matter of
27	the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence

^{....&}quot; If the City Council approves the Complaint, and after hearing the matter determines that a disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY, INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000.

1	HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent (15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift.
2	mary additions out mags at the that of tweet seems
3	Id. at ¶ 3, pp. 7-8 (emphasis added).
4	The management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO used this unreported cash income from the business to supplement
5	the wages of certain employees The club's floormen, bouncers, bartenders, and the shift managers themselves all
6	received a share of the currency collected from the dancers.
7	Id. at ¶ 4, p. 8 (emphasis added).
8	As part of the conspiracy to defraud the United States, the management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO including
9	defendant FREDERICK RIZZOLO did not report or maintain records of the money received from the dancers THE
10	CRAZY HORSE TOO's procedures enabled employees to under-report their cash income to THE CRAZY HORSE
11	TOO's bookkeeping staff and the Internal Revenue Service.
12	Id. at ¶ 5, p. 8 (emphasis added).
13	[T]he management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO delivered to the accountant and tax preparer for THE CRAZY HORSE TOO
14	records which failed to reflect the cash income from the dancers that had been disbursed to employees.
15	
16	Id. at ¶ 6, p. 8 (emphasis added).
17	As a further part of the conspiracy, the management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, including defendant FREDERICK
18	RIZZOLO, filed, or cause to be filed, quarterly federal employment tax returns under-reporting the true amount of
19	earnings the conspirators received in furtherance of the conspiracy's goals to conceal the fraud [T]he owners of
	1
20	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately
20 21	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating
l	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes
21	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported
21 22	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.
21 22 23	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.
21 22 23 24	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.
21 22 23 24 25	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.
21 22 23 24 25 26	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees, evaded and failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the United States on the unreported compensation.

$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \end{vmatrix}$	THE CRIMINAL INFORMATION (2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL)
3	CONSPIRACY TO PARTICIPATE IN AN <u>ENTERPRISE THROUGH A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING</u>
4	(ROBERT D'APICE)
5	CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE UNITED STATES OF TAXES (FOURTEEN OTHER EMPLOYEES OF CRAZY HORSE TOO)
6	
7	On May 31, 2006, a Criminal Information was filed in the United States District Court
8	for the District of Nevada under Case No. 2:05-cr-17-KJD-LRL charging Robert D'Apice with
9	Conspiracy to Participate in an Enterprise Through a Pattern of Racketeering in violation of 18
10	U.S.C. § 1962(d) (Count One), and fourteen (14) other employees ⁸ of THE CRAZY HORSE
11	TOO with Conspiracy to Defraud the United States of Taxes in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371
12	(Count Two). The Criminal Information relevantly provides that:
13	COUNT ONE (Conspiracy to Participate in a Racketeering
14	Enterprise in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d))
15	
16	At all times material to this Information, THE POWER COMPANY, INC., doing business as the CRAZY HORSE TOO
17	ROBERT D'APICE, and other individuals constituted a racketeering enterprise
18	1
19	Document 21, Criminal Information, p. 2 (emphasis added).
20	During the period from 2000 to 2005, dancers at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO collected payments from patrons
21	If a patron refused to pay a dancer, or a patron disputed the charges the dancer typically followed the procedure of
22	initially contacting the shift manager During the course of the conspiracy the defendant acting within the scope of his
23	employment at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO sought to extort payment from patrons through threats of violence
24	use of force and physical violence against patrons in
25	violation of Nevada Revised Statutes Defendant, THE CRAZY HORSE TOO and others also conspired to, and did,
26	interfere with commerce by threats and violence in violation of
27	
28	⁸ Vincent Faraci, Joseph Melfi, Albert Rapuano, John Drace, Steve Alberts, Michael Muscato, James Stressing, Steve Crespi, Darren Bruy, Michael Lomonaco, Scott Speroni, Ralph Pope, Rocco Lombardo, and Robert Ubriaco.

1	United States Code and used interstate facilities in furtherance of unlawful activity, to wit: extortion
2	intenctance of amarita assisting, to the state assistance of amarita assisting
3	Id. at pp. 2-3 (emphasis added).
4	From approximately January 1, 2000 through 2005 ⁹ , ROBERT D'APICE did knowingly conspire and agree,
5	with THE CRAZY HORSE TOO to conduct and to participate in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise
6	through a "pattern of racketeering activity," The pattern of racketeering consisted of multiple acts of extortion
7	••••
8	Id. at p. 3 (emphasis added).
9	COUNT TWO (Conspiracy to Defraud the United States
10	in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371)
11	
12	THE CRAZY HORSE TOO was typically known as a "gentlemen's club," or "strip club."
13	Dancers performing at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are
14	treated as independent contractors THE CRAZY HORSE TOO patrons commonly pay individual dancers for their services.
15	[D]ancers at THE CRAZY HORSE TOO are generally
16	required to pay the management or owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO a fee for the opportunity to dance [T]he shift management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required
17	that dancers pay a percentage of their earnings THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally required that dancers pay fifteen
18	percent (15%) of their earnings The shift managers of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO generally collected fifteen percent
19	(15%) of the individual dancers' earnings at the end of each shift.
20	Sint.
21	Id. at p. 5 (emphasis added).
22	The management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO used this unreported cash income from the business to supplement
23	the wages of certain employees
24	
25	
26	⁹ LVMC § 6.02.360 provides that a licensee subject to discipline may be fined "in an
27	amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each day that the violation which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in existence
28	" If the City Council approves the Complaint, and after hearing the matter determines that a disciplinary offense has been committed as set forth in the Complaint, THE POWER COMPANY INC. d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO is subject to a fine not to exceed \$2,192,000.

As part of the conspiracy to defraud the United States, the 1 management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, including FREDERICK RIZZOLO did not report or maintain records of 2 the money received from the dancers. . . . [E]mployees were permitted to self-report the amount of these cash salary 3 payments to THE CRAZY HORSE TOO's bookkeeping staff. THE CRAZY HORSE TOO's procedures . . . enabled 4 employees, including defendants VINCENT FARACI, JOSEPH MELFI, ALBERT RAPUANO, JOHN DRACE, STEVE 5 ALBERTS, MICHAEL MUSCATO, JAMES STRESING, STEVE CRESPI, DARREN BRUY, MICHAEL LOMONACO, 6 SCOTT SPERONI, RALPH POPE, ROCCO LOMBARDO, and ROBERT UBRIACO, to under-report their cash income to . . . 7 the Internal Revenue Service. 8 Id. at pp. 5-6 (emphasis added). 9 [T]he management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO delivered to 10 the accountant and tax preparer for THE CRAZY HORSE TOO records which failed to reflect the cash income from the 11 dancers that had been disbursed to employees. The defendants . . . knew that the accountant would rely on these inaccurate 12 summary sheets to prepare . . . tax returns for the years 2000 through 2002. 13 . . . [T]he management of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO 14 knowingly caused the preparation and delivery of numerous inaccurate Internal Revenue Service W-2 Forms to certain 15 employees . . . as well as to the Internal Revenue Service. . . . The management and employees of the CRAZY HORSE TOO 16 . . . then knowingly used these inaccurate W-2 Forms to cause false individual income tax returns to be filed with the 17 Internal Revenue Service. 18 Id. at p. 6 (emphasis added). 19 By failing to report or record the cash payments to the 20 club's employees, the owners of THE CRAZY HORSE TOO, in combination with participating employees . . . evaded and 21 failed to pay approximately \$400,000 of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes and Medicare taxes owed to the 22 United States on the unreported compensation. 23 Id. at pp. 6-7 (emphasis added). 24 25 26 27

THE CRIMINAL INDICTMENT 1 (CR-S-05-17-KJD-LRL) 2 FALSE STATEMENTS BEFORE A GRAND JURY 3 PAULA McBRIDE 4 On January 18, 2005, a criminal indictment was filed in the United States District 5 Court for the District of Nevada under Case No. CR-S-05-17-KJD-LRL charging Paula 6 McBride (at Count Six) with Giving a False Statement Before a Grand Jury in violation of 18 7 U.S.C. § 1623. The indictment relevantly provides that: 8 **COUNT SIX** (False Statement Before Grand Jury) 9 10 On August 27, 2002, in the District of Nevada, 11 PAULA McBRIDE, defendant herein, while under oath as a witness before the Special 12 Federal Grand Jury of the District of Nevada, did knowingly make false material declarations as follows: 13 At the time that he [individual identified as Henry Q. 14 who was found injured outside Crazy Horse Too] was leaving the Crazy Horse how was he walking 15 out? 16 Calmly, kind of tipsy, a little bit. A. 17 At the time that he walked out was there anyone Q. walking out near him? 18 No. 19 Α. Do you know an individual by the name of Bobby Q. 20 D'Apice? 21 Yes, I do. Α. 22 At the time that Mr. Henry walked out of the Q. club was Mr. D'Apice anywhere near Mr. 23 Henry? 24 A. No, he was not. 25 At the time Mr. Henry walked out of the club did Q. you observe Mr. D'Apice walking immediately 26 behind Mr. Henry? 27 No, I did not. Α. 28

2	Q. [I]s it your testimony today that at the time you were sitting at the front, on September 20 th , 19– or 2001, you observed Mr. Henry leave THE CRAZY HORSE TOO by himself?
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. It is your testimony today that on September 20 th ,
5	2001 as you were sitting at the front of the Crazy Horse observing Mr Henry leave, that Bobby D'Apice was nowhere near Mr. Henry?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	The aforesaid testimony of PAULA McBRIDE
9	was false in that PAULA McBRIDE well knew that: 1) defendant PAULA McBRIDE had observed the person TO A TO
10	identified as Henry exit THE CRAZY HORSE TOO with or in close proximity of defendant ROBERT D'APICE; and
11	2) defendant PAULA McBRIDE had observed the defendant ROBERT D'APICE exit outside of the CRAZY HORSE TOO with or in close proximity of the person identified as Henry.
12	with of in close proximity of the person reconstruct as 120119.
13	Document 22, Criminal Indictment, at pp. 16-17 (emphasis added).
14	SUBSTANTIVE LAW
15	LVMC § 6.02.330(H) provides:
16 17	The licensee may be subject to disciplinary action by the City Council for good cause, which may, without limitation, include:
ĺ	motude.
18	
19 20	The actual business activity constitutes a public or private nuisance, or has been or is being conducted in an unlawful, illegal or impermissible manner. [Emphasis added.]
21	LVMC § 6.02.350 provides:
22	A licensee under this Chapter shall be subject to
23	disciplinary action not only for acts or omissions done by such licensee but also for acts and omissions done by the principals ,
24	managers, agents, representatives, servants or employees of such licensee. [Emphasis added.]
25	EVIDENCE
26	LVMC § 6.88.090 provides:
27	(A) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant
28	evidence may be admitted.

1	(B) The respondent shall have the right to call and examine witnesses on his own behalf, cross-examine opposing
2	witnesses, introduce exhibits and evidence relevant to the issues of the case, and offer rebuttal evidence.
3	(C) The respondent may be called and examined by the
4	City.
5	(D) The Clerk shall have the power to issue subpoenas for witnesses to appear to give testimony.
6	DENIA I TVV
7	<u>PENALTY</u>
8	LVMC § 6.02.360 provides:
9	Upon a showing of good cause and in the discretion of the City Council, disciplinary action against a holder may take the
10	form of cancellation, revocation, refusal to renew, suspension, imposition of conditions or restrictions or civil fine in an amount
11	not to exceed one thousand dollars for each day that the violation
12	which forms the subject matter of the complaint that recommends such disciplinary action is demonstrated to have been in
13	existence, or any combination of such actions, as the particular situation may require. The Council may also impose against the
14	licensee the actual costs incurred, and a reasonable amount for attorney's fees, resulting from the imposition of disciplinary
15	action. The disciplinary actions available in this Section shall be in addition to, and not exclusive of, any other civil or criminal
16	remedy which otherwise might be available. [Emphasis added.]
17	ALLEGATION
18	It is alleged that between January 1, 2000, and December 31, 2005, THE POWER
19	COMPANY, INC., d/b/a CRAZY HORSE TOO's actual business activity constituted a public
20	nuisance, and was conducted in an unlawful, illegal and impermissible manner.
21	THE POWER COMPANY, INC. conspired with Robert D'Apice to engage in a
22	pattern of racketeering activity in violation of federal law. CRAZY HORSE TOO operated
23	in such a manner that it constituted a racketeering enterprise. It engaged in acts of extortion,
24	device fraud, wire fraud, and mail fraud. CRAZY HORSE TOO defrauded customers by
25	extorting payment from them through threats and the use of force.
26	THE POWER COMPANY, INC., Frederick Rizzolo, and fourteen (14) of his
27	employees also engaged in a pattern of racketeering by requiring dancers to pay 15% of their

earnings to shift managers. These shift managers, Frederick Rizzolo, and THE POWER

1	COMPANY, INC. did not report or maintain records of this money. The management of the
2	CRAZY HORSE TOO used this unreported cash income from the business to supplement the
3	wages of certain employees. The management knowingly caused the preparation and
4	delivery of inaccurate Internal Revenue Service W-2 Forms, knowing that these inaccurate
5	W-2 Forms would be filed with the Internal Revenue Service, and result in the underpayment
6	of Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) taxes and medicare taxes.
7	One employee of THE POWER COMPANY, INC. committed perjury before a
8	federal grand jury to conceal the involvement of another employee in extorting payment from a
9	patron utilizing threats and the actual use of force which resulted in bodily injury.
10	WHEREFORE, the Petitioner respectfully requests the City Council to:
11	A. Approve the Complaint for Disciplinary Action and order a disciplinary hearing
12	at which the Respondent shall appear and show cause why the license that is the subject of this
13	Complaint should not be suspended or revoked, or other disciplinary action taken; or
14	B. Grant such other and further relief as the Council deems appropriate.
15	DATED this3 day of July, 2006.
16	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
17	Mich Delicat
18	By: MARK R. VINCENT, Director
19	Finance and Business Services
20	BRADFORD R. JERBIC City Attorney
21	1
22	By: WILLIAM P. HENRY
23	Senior Litigation Counse Nevada Bar No. 101
24	400 Stewart Avenue, Ninth Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101
25	Attorneys for CITY OF LAS VEGAS
26	
27	